# Non-native Plants Support Fewer Arthropods than Natives Across North America



Figure 1. A map of active *Caterpillars Count!* sites

#### Introduction

- The enemy release hypothesis states that non-native plants will increase in abundance and distribution in a new area as there are no natural predators<sup>1</sup>
- So, non-native plants would support fewer arthropods compared to native plants with natural predators
- When comparing four arthropod groups who use plants in a variety of ways ranging from using them for their vegetative structure, as food, or hunting grounds:
  - Caterpillars are expected to be affected the most by nonnative plants<sup>2</sup>
- True bugs and beetles would be moderately affected<sup>3</sup>
- Spiders are expected to be weakly affected<sup>4</sup>



Colleen Whitener<sup>1</sup> and Allen Hurlbert<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Environment, Ecology, and Energy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill <sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Figure 2. American dagger caterpillar found on a red maple in a *Caterpillars Count!* survey

### Methodology

- *Caterpillars Count!* is a citizen science dataset
- as comparable results for density, biomass, and occurrence are found<sup>5</sup>
- number of Lepidoptera genera on a plant<sup>6</sup>

## Results

- and weaker affect on beetles occurred
- Density and percent occurrence compared to caterpillars supported per branch

### Conclusion

- Unexpected trends might be due to:
- longer a plant is in a place the more likely arthropods will evolve to use it<sup>7</sup>
- affected by temperature and precipitation and is scale-dependent<sup>8</sup>
- urbanization causes the heat island effect, impermeable surfaces, and more<sup>9, 10</sup>

centered on the East Coast in which standardized arthropod surveys are done on woody vegetation Beat sheet and visual foliage surveys are conducted

Tallamy & Shropshire (2009) was used to classify plants as native or non-native and to identify the



Caterpillars, beetles, and spiders followed the trend but a notably stronger affect on true bugs genera-level Lepidoptera richness found more

Evolutionary history which indicates that the Geographic range of plants and arthropods are

Non-natives are being used as ornamentals and All supporting a less diverse, complex food web<sup>11</sup>

Figure 3. The average density (a, d, g, j), biomass (b, e, h,, k), and occurrence (c, f, i, l) of caterpillars (a, b, c), beetles (d, e, f), true bugs (g, h, i) and spiders (j, k, l) compared between native (dark gray) and alien (light gray) all plant species

1. Keane, R. M., & Crawley, M. J. (2002). Exotic plant invasions and the enemy release hypothesis. *Trends in Ecology &* Evolution, 17(4), 164–170. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02499-0. 2. Narango, D.L., Tallamy, D.W., & Shropshire, K.J. (2020). Few keystone plant genera support the majority of Lepidoptera species. Nature Communications, 11, 5751. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19565-4. 3. Litt, A. R., Cord, E. E., Fulbright, T. E., & Schuster, G. L. (2014). Effects of Invasive Plants on Arthropods. *Conservation* Biology, 28(6), 1532–1549. DOI: 10.1111/cobi.12350. 4. Loomis, J. D., Cameron, G. N., & Uetz, G. W. (2014). Impact of the Invasive Shrub Lonicera maackii on Shrub-Dwelling Araneae in a Deciduous Forest in Eastern North America. The American Midland Naturalist, 171(2), 204–218. https://doi.org/10.1674/0003-0031-171.2.204. 5. Tallamy, D. & Hurlbert, A. (2023). Assessing the influence of traits, environment, and anthropogenic context on the ability of trees to support arthropod biodiversity [unpublished]. Pdf format. 6. Tallamy, D.W. & Shropshire, K.J. (2009). Ranking Lepidopteran use of native versus introduced plants. Conservation *Biology*, 23(4), 941-947. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2009.01202.x 7. Zuefle, M.E., Brown, W.P. & Tallamy, D.W. (2008). Effects of non-native plants on the native insect community of Delaware. *Biological Invasions*, 10, 1159. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-007-9193-y. 8. Maher, G. M., Johnson, G. A., & Burdine, J. D. (2022). Impervious surface and local abiotic conditions influence arthropod communities within urban greenspaces. *PeerJ*, 10. doi: 10.7717/peerj.12818. 9. Tallamy, D. W., Narango, D. L., & Mitchell, A. B. (2020). Do non-native plants contribute to insect declines?. *Ecological* Entomology, 1-14. DOI: 10.1111/een.12973. 10. Miles, L. S., Breitbart, S. T., Wagner, H. H., & Johnson, M. T. J. (2019). Urbanization Shapes the Ecology and Evolution of Plant-Arthropod Herbivore Interactions. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00310. 11. Narango, D. L., Tallamy, D. W., & Marra, P. P. (2017). Native plants improve breeding and foraging habitat for an insectivorous. Biological Conservation, 213, 42-50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.06.029.



THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL



#### References