

Underutilization of Molecular Testing Contributes to THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA Sub-Optimal Diagnosis of Ehrlichiosis and Poor Diagnostic at CHAPEL HILL Stewardship in a High-Incidence Area of North Carolina Alexis Siegler¹, Lauryn Ursery², Dana A. Giandomenico², Melissa B. Miller³, Johanna S. Salzer⁴, Alexis M. Barbarin⁵, Carl Williams⁵, and Ross M. Boyce^{2,6,7}

¹College of Arts and Sciences, UNC-CH, ²Institute for Global Health and Infectious Diseases UNC-CH, ⁴Rickettsial Zoonoses Branch, CDC Division of Vector-Borne Diseases, ⁵Division of Public Health, North Carolina Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health UNC-CH, ⁷Carolina Population Center UNC-CH

Abstract

Cases of ehrlichiosis have been rapidly increasing in the United States. While serological testing has historically been the mainstay of laboratory diagnosis, this approach is fraught with pitfalls. Detection of *Ehrlichia* DNA via PCR has become more widely available, but often only through reference laboratories. Therefore, we sought to (i) assess diagnostic testing practices, (ii) quantify the proportion of samples eligible for PCR testing, and (iii) estimate the potential impact of PCR at an academic center in a high-incidence area. Overall, we found that the vast majority of patients did not undergo PCR testing, even as rates of serodiagnostic algorithm completion (i.e., testing of acute and convalescent samples) were low (18.4%). These findings show that there is a need to educate providers on the availability and the advantage of PCR testing. Furthermore, the relatively low proportion of individuals with fever supports recent changes to the clinical criteria used for surveillance.

Background

- » 10-fold increase in ehrlichiosis cases since 2000
- » Frequent misdiagnosis, can have severe consequences (fatal if untreated)
- » Non-specific clinical presentation
- » Standard diagnostic: paired acute and convalescent serum samples using IFA to detect immunoglobulin G antibodies.
- » Serodiagnosis has many shortcomings:
 - » No detectable levels of IgG \rightarrow inconclusive negative acute titer
 - » IgG levels from prior infection \rightarrow unreliable positive acute titer
 - » Time consuming: two titers taken weeks apart, >= 4-fold increase to confirm diagnosis
 - » Incomplete diagnostic algorithm: few patients return for convalescent testing
 - » Diagnostic shortcomings cause clinicians to make treatment decisions without objective evidence
- » PCR detects *Ehrlichia* DNA with high sensitivity and specificity » Eliminates need for covalescent specimens to confirm diagnosis

Objectives

In preparation for implementation of a laboratory developed Ehrlichia PCR, we sought to...

- 1. Assess current diagnostic testing practices
- 2. Quantify the proportion of serologically tested patients that would be elible for PCR testing
- 3. Estimate the potential impact of PCR on the diagnosis and management of ehrlichiosis at UNC

Methods

» Retrospective chart review of those tested for *Ehrlichia* over a 12-month period via IFA, at UNC McLendon Clinical Laboratories, or via PCR, as a "send out" test to Mayo Clinic

Stratified patients by 3 criteria:

- 1. Test appropriateness
- » "Appropriately tested" = met 2008 CSTE clinical criteria of fever + one other symptom
- 2. PCR eligibility
 - » Antibiotics rapidly clear *Ehrlichia* DNA from blood » Eligible = patients prescribed antibiotics day of or after acute titer taken
- 3. Epidemiological Case Classification
 - » Threshold for positive serological test: >=1:64 IgG titer » Confirmed: >=4-fold titer increase or positive PCR and clinical
 - criteria met
 - » Probable: 1+ positive serological test, clinical criteria met
 - » Suspect: 1+ positive serological test, clinical criteria not met

Results





Discussion

- » Reliance on serological testing, despite low rates of paired testing (18.4%, 47/256) » Convalescent testing needed to interpret serological results accurately » 61.7% vs. 27.0% : acute vs. convalescent positivity rate » Differing values in over half paired samples
- » Patients with ehrlichiosis go undetected, untreated » The number of patients for whom testing was ordered, but were not empirically treated with out ehrlichiosis.
- » With improvements in the turn-around time of PCR, positive results can offer a more timely confirmation of diagnoses and ensure appropriate treatment plan. » Why is *Ehrlichia* PCR underutilized?
- » Lack of capability or resources to perform test » Time for send-out PCR tests (~ 6.2 days)

- symptom of ehrlichiosis

 Table 1. Clinical Symptoms Among

 Individuals Tested For Tick-Borne Disease at Acute Visit Within the UNC Health System, March 24, 2022 – April 14, 2023

Clinical Symptoms of a TBD	Patient No. (%)
Meet Clinical Criteria	273 (28.9)
Fever + Headache	151 (55.3)
Fever + Myalgia	152 (55.7)
Fever + Anemia	92 (33.7)
Fever + Thrombocytopenia ¹	65 (23.8)
Fever + Hepatic Transaminase Elevation ²	78 (28.6)
Fever + Leukopenia ³	42 (15.4)
Bite Noticed in Two Weeks Prior	77 (28.3)
Does Not Meet Clinical Criteria	672 (71.1)
Fever	14 (2.1)
Headache	145 (21.6)
Myalgia	168 (25)
Anemia	50 (7.3)
Thrombocytopenia	28 (4.2)
Hepatic Transaminase Elevation	47 (7)
Leukopenia	26 (3.9)
Bite Noticed in Two Weeks Prior	205 (30.5)
No Symptoms or Bite Noticed	69 (10.3)

¹ Platelets < 150²AST or ALT > 145 ³WBC < 4.0

Table 2. Clinical Symptoms and Titer of Individuals Confirmed for Ehrlichiosis Within UNC Health System, March 24 2022 - April 14, 2023

Study IDFever HeadacheHeadache MyalgiaMyalgia AnemiaAnemia Thrombocytopenia HeadacheHepatic Transaminase ElevationLeukopenia Leukopenia LigG titerAcute Ehrlichia lgG titerConvalescent Ehrlichia lgG titer548XXN/AX≥1:641:256549XXXXX1:1281:1024640XXXXX1:1281:1024765XXXXX935XXXXX	Days etween Testing 19 30
IDTransaminase ElevationEhrlichia IgG titerEhrlichia IgG titerEhrlichia 	etween Testing 19 30
ElevationlgG titertiter548XXN/AX548XXN/AX549XXXX640XXXX640XXXX765XXXX935XXXX	Testing 19 30
548 X X N/A X ≥1:64 1:256 549 X X X X 1:128 1:1024 640 X X X X 1:128 1:1024 640 X X X X 1:128 1:1024 640 X X X X X 1:128 1:1024 640 X X X X X X 1:128 1:1024 640 X X X X X X 1:128 1:1024 640 X X X X X X 640 X X X X X X X 1:128 1:1024 765 X X X X X X X 1:128 935 X X X X X X X	19 30
548XXN/AX $\geq 1:64$ 1:256549XXXXX1:1281:1024640XXXXX1:1281:1024640XXXXX1:1281:1024640XXXXX1:1281:1024640XXXXX X 1:128935XXXXX X	19 30
549 X X X X 1:128 1:1024 640 X X X X X 1:128 1:1024 640 X X X X X 1:128 1:1024 640 X X X X X X 1:128 1:1024 765 X X X X X	30
640 X X X X 1:128 1:1024 765 X X X X X <th< th=""> <th< th=""> <th<< th=""><th></th></th<<></th<></th<>	
765 X X X <1:64	18
935 X X X X X X X <1:64 1:1024	17
	19
951 X X X X X X ≥1:64 1:1024	22
1278 X X X X 1:128 1:512	16
1337 X X X X <1:64 1:128	29
1339 X X X < <1:64 1:128	29
1370 X X X X 1:128 1:512	24
1466 X X X X < <a><1:64 1:512	21
1655 X X X X X X X X <1:64 1:128	8

» 18.4% (47/256) underwent convalescent testing » 55.3% (26/47) patients with paired titers had differing values

- » 19.1% (9/47) had not change in titer
- » 28.7% (193/673) with positive acute titer
- » 13.4% (90/673) with positive convalescent titer
- » 7 with >= 4-fold increase between titers
- » Median of 22 days between tests (IQR 17-32)

doxycycline is concerning. With sufficient suspicion to order testing, patients should have recieved empirical treatment, as without a convalescent draw an acute titer can not be used to diagnose or rule

» Lack of awareness of availability or that PCR is preferred for acute diagnosis

» For optimal implementation of *Ehrlichia* PCR, providers must be educated on the use of PCR to confirm ehrlichiosis cases and testing needs to be performed in-house with rapid turnaround time. » Low proportion of "appropriately tested" individuals supports recent changes to CSTE criteria, which eliminated fever as necessary to meet clinical criteria for cases with confirmatory laboratory evidence » Similar positivity rates for not "appropriately tested" individuals suggests fever is not always a

» 10.6% (5/47) reverted from a positive to a negative titer

» 12.8% (6/47) seroconverted seroconverted (i.e., non-reactive to \geq 1:64), representing half of confirmed cases (Table 2)

» 33.9% (228/673) not "appropriately tested" patients had 1+ positive Ehrlichia test

» 38.8% of eligible patients had a negative acute *Ehrlichia* IgG titer and did not

return for a convalescent draw, but were put on antibiotics.

» 22.7% of eligible patients had a negative acute *Ehrlichia* IgG titer and did not

return for a convalescent test, but did not receive antibiotics.