

Table 1. Participant demographic characteristics.

A Comparative Analysis of Stroop Reaction Times Between Male and Female Collegiate Athletes and Non-Athletes in the Young **Adult Population**

Ashton T. Owen¹; Logan C. Ninos¹; Johna K. Register-Mihalik¹

¹UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, CHAPEL HILL, NC

Utilizing tasks (e.g. a Stroop task) beyond a simple reaction time response, may allow for greater discrimination in responses.

es	Female Non-Athletes
	30
))	11/30 (36.7%)
)	7/30 (23.3%)
)	4/30 (13.3%)
	0/30 (0.0%)
)	4/30 (13.3%)
)	2/30 (06.7%)
	0/30 (0.0%)
)	24/30 (80.0%)

Data Collection:

- Exclusion criteria for this cross-sectional study included having an active concussion or currently experiencing persistent concussion symptoms as indicated by a single question in the screener. Inclusion criteria were being within 18-25 years of age and identifying as a college student.
- Participants first completed surveys that involved demographic information, including current age, height (inches), concussion history, learning disorders, academic year, biological sex assigned at birth, and NIH Race/Ethnicity as seen as in Table 1.
- The participants completed the Stroop test from Central Nervous System Vital Signs (CNS Vital Signs LLC; Chapel Hill, NC) twice, before and after a functional reaction time task (not included in current study).
- All data included in this study were collected in an oncampus research laboratory.
- This Stroop test was taken on a laptop computer equipped with a standard wired keyboard and the participants response was measured once they clicked the space bar once the correct option was on the screen. Timing and accuracy were collected via key stroke data.
- Participants first completed a simple reaction time task (not included in current study), followed by a congruent Stroop task, and lastly an incongruent Stroop task (Figure 1). They completed each of these twice during the testing session. (Figure 2)



Functional **RT** Task

Figure 2. Testing Order (RT=reaction time)

• We compared athletes (previous athletic history in past year) to non-athletes (no previous athletic history in the past year) on the four reaction time outcomes in males and females separately via separate T-tests for each outcome. Effect sizes were reported for all comparisons. (Table 2)



hypothesized impact of athletic experience on reaction time



CNSVS Stroop 2

Basis for the study:

 We hypothesized previous athletic experience would allow the athletes to react quicker than the non-athletes on the basis that being involved in the repetition of sport would yield faster reaction time responses. (Figure 3)

• We also hypothesized these responses may be sex specific and that magnitude of effect may differ by sex, given previous literature identifying sex specific responses to various cognitive stimuli.

	Females				Males				
Variable of Interest	Athlete	Non- Athlete	р	Cohen's D	Athlete	Non-Athlete	р	Cohen's D	Full Sample
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)			Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)			Mean (SD)
Incongruent RT1 (ms)	627.4 (80.8)	640.9 (66.8)	0.513	-0.1849	605.9 (52.1)	631.7 (67.9)	0.314	-0.434	629.7 (68.9)
Congruent RT1 (ms)	545.7 (59.7)	566.0 (58.4)	0.225	-0.3445	521.7 (39.8)	549.3 (55.4)	0.178	-0.586	550.2 (56.8)
Incongruent RT2 (ms)	632.9 (95.0)	629.2 (59.2)	0.862	0.0492	609.0 (67.7)	628.1 (100.5)	0.592	-0.229	626.7 (77.3)
Congruent RT2 (ms)	545.8 (82.7)	559.0 (35.8)	0.437	-0.2198	526.5 (39.4)	561.3 (56.9)	0.098	-0.728	549.8 (56.9)

Table 2. Reaction time (correct) data comparisons between athletes and nonathletes in the study sample.

Results

- athlete comparisons in males or in females.
- illustrated an effect size over 0.4.

No significant significant differences in Stroop reaction times were observed between athletes and non-athletes in males or in females.

Effects sizes were greater in males, illustrating potential differences in the magnitude of effects by sex.

These findings indicate the need for continued exploration of sex and potentially sport specific outcomes of reaction time metrics to further inform their clinical use.

This study was limited by the number of athletes in each group; future research should include larger and more diverse samples.

This research highlights the need for more research concerning reaction time responses given their frequency of use in concussion management.

1. Jain, A., Bansal, R., Kumar, A., & Singh, K. D. (2015). A comparative study of visual and auditory reaction times on the basis of gender and physical activity levels of medical first year students. International journal of applied & basic medical research, 5(2), 124–127. https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-516X.157168

- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmrj.2010.12.003*raining*. 2008;43(2):119-124. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-43.2.119
- Report.pdf





• There were no significant differences in any reaction time outcomes for athlete vs. non-

• However, in males, the effect sizes for all comparisons were greater, with 2 of 4

outcomes illustrating moderate effects sizes (>0.5) while none of the female comparisons

CONCLUSIONS

2. Eckner, J. T., Kutcher, J. S., & Richardson, J. K. (2011). Effect of concussion on clinically measured reaction time in 9 NCAA division I collegiate athletes: a preliminary study. PM & R : the journal of injury, function, and rehabilitation, 3(3), 212–218.

3. Scarpina, F., & Tagini, S. (2017). The Stroop Color and Word Test. *Frontiers in psychology*, *8*, 557. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00557</u> 4. The CNS Vital Signs Neurocognitive Testing Report. CNS Vital Signs. (n.d.). https://www.cnsvs.com/SampleReports/CNS VS Example

