

Introduction

- Body Mass Index (BMI) and Waist Hip Ratio adjusted for BMI (WHRadjBMI) are useful, inexpensive, and easily obtained anthropometric measures for predicting cardiometabolic disease risk.
- Characterizing the relationship between BMI/WHR and change in circulating protein levels over time can yield insight on mechanisms of metabolic change and cardiometabolic disease risk.



Objective:

Use longitudinal data from the MESA study to assess the relationship between the changing protein levels and anthropometric measures.

Time 1 Time 2 Figure 1. Proteomics in studies of anthropometric measures

Methods

Study population: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) cohort consists of 6000+ men and women from 6 diverse communities in the USA. In the current study, we measured 2,946 proteins using Olink 3k proteomics in plasma samples from 2,311 MESA participants.

- Proteomics data was cleaned and inverse normalized. All data analysis was done in RStudio.
- We built linear regression models for cross-sectional and longitudinal analysis across visits 1, 5, and 6 adjusted for age, sex, and time to follow up (mean = 15.8 years, sd = 0.78). Bonferroni correction was applied to p-values.
- Further analysis of significant proteins included pathway overexpression analysis in Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA).

rabie il bomographie cannary or meer participante at m		
Variable ¹		MESA (n=2
Age (years)		58.7 (8.9
Sex, n (%)	Male Female	1112 (62 1199 (37
Race/Ethnicity, n (%)	White Chinese Black Hispanic/Latino	972 (42. 328 (10. 561(24. 450 (19.
WHR		0.92 (0.0
BMI (kg/m²)		28.0 (5.3
¹ Data are mean (SD) u	Inless otherwise stated	

Table 1. Demographic summary of MESA participants at Initial Visit 1

Longitudinal Proteomics of Body Mass Index and Waist Hip Ratio

Katherine Xing¹, Jayna Nicholas², Daeeun Kim², Madeline Gillman², Laura Raffield² ¹Department of Biostatistics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, ²Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine

SCHOOL OF MEDICINE DEPARTMENT OF GENETICS

2311)

- 94)
- ..と)
- (8.

- (90
- 36)

Association Results

Cross-Sectional model: Phenotype_{visit 1} ~ protein level_{visit 1}, χ + age + sex + follow-up time

Longitudinal protein model:

Phenotype_{visit 6} ~ Δ protein level, χ + age + sex + follow-up time



Figure 2. Upset plot showing proteins significantly associated at nominal p < 0.05 with longitudinal proteomics measures and cross-sectional BMI and WHR adj BMI, as well as intersections between sets.

Table 2. Number of Significant Associations			
	Cross-Sectional	Longitudinal	
	Protein Measure	Protein Measure	
BMI	1476 (850)	1138 (112)	
WHR adj. BMI	1224 (252)	156 (0)	
*Data are nominal p < 0.05 (Bonferroni-adj p < 0.05)			

Cross-Sectional Protein Models



Change in Protein, (C) Cross-Sectional WHRadjBMI, and (D) Cross-Sectional WHRadjBMI. Not adjusted for significance. Axes are not on the same scale.

iless otherwise stated. 2,940 proteins tested.



Figure 4. Bar plots showing the top 5 protein association counts with overexpressed pathways in (A) Cross-Sectional BMI, and (B) Cross-Sectional WHRadjBMI models, (C) BMI ~ Change in Protein, (D) WHRadjBMI ~ Change in Protein. Bars are colorcoded according to -log(pval) values. P-values are nominal (unadjusted). Axes are not on the same scale.

- between models.

- cohort.



I want to acknowledge Daeeun Kim and Madeline Gillman for their code contributions on generating the regressions, especially the longitudinal models. Thank you to Jayna Nicholas for your advice and mentorship during my time at the Raffield Lab, and thank you to Dr. Laura Raffield for your continued support and input.

T32GM135128.



Pathway Analysis

Cross-Sectional Protein Models



Longitudinal Protein Models



Conclusions

• We identified cross-sectional and longitudinal protein associations for BMI and WHRadjBMI, with shared and distinct proteins significant across models.

 Pathway analysis generally reveals alterations in inflammation and immune-related processes across models, although the most significantly enriched pathways differed

Future Directions

• Adjust for baseline protein measure in protein trajectory model.

Determine which significant proteins have previously been associated with adverse outcomes in literature.

Replicate and validate results in Jackson Heart Study (JHS)

Acknowledgements